e e



NOTEWORTHY NEWS FROM AROUND THE INTERNET <u>« No, Mr. Gore, I Expect the Internet to Die.</u> | <u>Main</u> | <u>E-</u> <u>Voting Mistake Caught on Paper »</u>

## PVR for (XM) Radio Arrives.

## August 13, 2004

П

OK, so I still don't have my jet pack. But at least I may soon have a TiVo for my radio.

You'll remember that the RIAA is <u>complaining bitterly</u> to the FCC about the possibility that, someday, consumers might have the ability to record digital radio (aka DAB or HD Radio) and automatically split the recordings into individual songs (like TiVo does for TV shows). EFF <u>pointed out</u> that having the FCC impose a technology mandate against this is a bit silly, when people will soon have the same recording capabilities for analog FM, DMX, Music Choice, XM and Sirius. (You already have this capability for mp3 webcasts, thanks to <u>Streamripper</u>.)

Well, scratch the bit about "soon." Looks like NeroSoft has already built a piece of software called <u>TimeTrax</u> that gives <u>XM Radio</u> subscribers a record button. Not just record, but automatically divide up the recording into nicely tagged MP3s of individual songs. Not just that, but also select what to record by artist, using wishlists. All on your PC, not locked up in a DRM jail (so, in fact, better than TiVo).

I have no idea if this software works as advertised, but it is a harbinger of the inevitable. I've already seen similar demos that record from cable music services like DMX and Music Choice. And doing it for analog FM is also eminently possible, using metadata from <u>RDS</u> or even acoustic fingerprints like Audible Magic's (finally, a <u>sensible</u> use for acoustic fingerprinting).

So why should the FCC single out digital broadcast radio (which is required by the FCC to play exactly the same music as on the analog FM signal) for intrusive federal "broadcast flag" mandates? How does that make sense again?

Posted by Fred von Lohmann at 05:41 PM | Permalink | Technorati



BOUT EFF August 25, 2004
SEARCH DEEPLINKS

ARCHIVES August 2004 July 2004 June 2004 May 2004 April 2004 March 2004

TOPICS E-voting File sharing Free Speech Intellectual Property Misc. Privacy Standards/Architecture

GET EMAIL

your email here Get Posts by Email

\_\_\_\_\_

RSS 1.0

## MINILinks

<u>Italian Protest Site</u> <u>Censored</u> Time to say "ciao" to free speech on our favorite Mediterranean peninsula?

44% of Voters Want a Paper Trail A poll commissioned by a voting machine vendor indicates a significant jump in the number of people who are concerned about validating the votes they cast on electronic voting machines.

James Boyle Gives Apple

the Eye Essays about law and technology don't get much smarter or more accessible than this.

New Mexico's Missing Votes In 2000, New Mexico's electronic voting machines failed to record 678 presidential votes in a state where the election was decided by 366.

Voting Labs Fail <u>Transparency Test</u> Three private labs are responsible for testing all of America's electronic voting machines, but the results are a secret.

<u>More miniLinks ></u>



http://www.eff.org/deeplinks/archives/001824.php